

Understood

In the recent past many a conversation has been held with learned lawyers. One commonality of these conversations is that the judges are not ruling according to law. The question presents as to why do not judge's rule in accordance to law. Could it be, intent to protect the banks to avoid a financial calamity? Could it be, judges avoid opining to law for the sake of keeping a revolving door open for future employment? Could it be, judges have not kept up with statutory law? Could it be, judges opine based upon law applicable to methods and means of decades ago?

Regardless of the reason, even if it is just stupidity, the civil rights of the people are being stomped on and Sovereign Immunity while acting under color of law only stretches so far.

History of the Constitution and those that fought for the rights understood the concept of civil rights. There are those senior who remember the days of black and white color. Today, violation of law does not affect only one color but all colors, regardless of age, race or religion.

For where a judge not follows the law the results could be that people are deprived of property, when such is unjustly so, then a judge needs to be concerned with the fact that failure to follow law may deprive somewhere in the future, liberty.

Is it better to live eternal in Heaven or subject thyself to Hell, pain eternal without hope of relief? Opinion of a judge in ruling not in accordance to law is a choice. But is it the right choice, may Satan have mercy on their soul. Could it be that God will have mercy?

Oops, Satan offers no mercy!!!